## What Is Claimed Is:

1	1. A method for using a computer system to solve an unconstrained
2	interval global optimization problem specified by a function $f$ , wherein $f$ is a scalar
3	function of a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots x_n)$ , the method comprising:
4	receiving a representation of the function $f$ at the computer system;
5	storing the representation in a memory within the computer system; and
6	performing an interval global optimization process to compute guaranteed
7	bounds on a globally minimum value of the function $f(x)$ over a subbox $X$ ;
8	wherein performing the interval global optimization process involves,
9	applying term consistency to a set of relations associated
10	with the function $f$ over the subbox $X$ , and excluding any portion of
11	the subbox $X$ that violates any of these relations,
12	applying box consistency to the set of relations associated
13	with the function $f$ over the subbox $X$ , and excluding any portion of
14	the subbox $X$ that violates any of these relations, and
15	performing an interval Newton step on the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ to
16	produce a resulting subbox Y, wherein the point of expansion of
17	the interval Newton step is a point $x$ within the subbox $X$ , and
18	wherein performing the interval Newton step involves evaluating
19	the gradient $g(x)$ of the function $f(x)$ using interval arithmetic.
1	2. The method of claim 1, wherein applying term consistency
2	involves:
3	symbolically manipulating an equation within the computer system to
4	solve for a term $g(x_j)$ , thereby producing a modified equation $g(x_j) = h(\mathbf{x})$ , wherein
5	the term $g(x)$ can be analytically inverted to produce an inverse function $g^{-l}(v)$ ;

6	substituting the subbox X into the modified equation to produce the
7	equation $g(X'_j) = h(\mathbf{X});$
8	solving for $X'_{j} = g^{-1}(h(\mathbf{X}))$ ; and
9	intersecting $X'_j$ with the interval $X_j$ to produce a new subbox $\mathbf{X}^+$ ;
10	wherein the new subbox $\mathbf{X}^+$ contains all solutions of the equation within
11	the subbox $X$ , and wherein the size of the new subbox $X^+$ is less than or equal to
12	the size of the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ .

- 1 3. The method of claim 1, wherein performing the interval global 2 optimization process involves: 3 keeping track of a smallest upper bound f bar of the function  $f(\mathbf{x})$ ;
- removing from consideration any subbox X for which f(X) > f bar; and 4 5 wherein applying term consistency to the f bar relation involves applying 6 term consistency to the inequality  $f(\mathbf{x}) \le f$  bar over the subbox  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- 4. The method of claim 3, wherein applying box consistency to the 2 set of relations involves applying box consistency to the inequality  $f(\mathbf{x}) \le f$  bar 3 over the subbox X.
- 1 5. The method of claim 1, wherein performing the interval global 2 optimization process involves:
- 3 determining the gradient g(x) of the function f(x), wherein g(x) includes 4 components  $g_i(\mathbf{x})$  (i=1,...,n);
- 5 removing from consideration any subbox for which any element of g(x) is 6 bounded away from zero, thereby indicating that the subbox does not include a 7 stationary point of  $f(\mathbf{x})$ ; and

8	wherein applying term consistency to the set of relations involves applying
9	term consistency to each component $g_i(\mathbf{x})=0$ ( $i=1,,n$ ) of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=0$ over the subbox
10	X.
1	6. The method of claim 5, wherein applying box consistency to the
2	set of relations involves applying box consistency to each component
3	$g_i(\mathbf{x})=0$ $(i=1,,n)$ of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=0$ over the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ .
1	7. The method of claim 1, wherein performing the interval global
2	optimization process involves:
3	determining diagonal elements $H_n(\mathbf{x})$ ( $i=1,,n$ ) of the Hessian of the
4	function $f(\mathbf{x})$ ;
5	removing from consideration any subbox for which a diagonal element of
6	the Hessian is always negative, which indicates that the function $f$ is not convex
7	and consequently does not contain a global minimum within the subbox;
8	wherein applying term consistency to the set of relations involves applying
9	term consistency to each inequality $H_{ii}(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$ ( $i=1,,n$ ) over the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ .
•	
1	8. The method of claim 7, wherein applying box consistency to the
2	set of relations involves applying box consistency to each inequality
3	$H_n(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$ $(i=1,,n)$ over the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ .
1	O The mosthed of alaim 1
1	9. The method of claim 1,
2	wherein performing the interval Newton step involves,
3	computing the Jacobian $J(x,X)$ of the gradient $g$ evaluated

as a function of a point x over the subbox X,

5	computing an approximate inverse <b>B</b> of the center of
6	J(x,X), and
7	using the approximate inverse B to analytically determine
8	the system $\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x})$ , wherein $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ is the gradient of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ ,
9	and wherein $g(x)$ includes components $g_i(x)$ ( $i=1,,n$ ); and
10	wherein applying term consistency to the set of relations involves applying
11	term consistency to each component $(\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x}))_i = 0$ $(i=1,,n)$ for each variable
12	$x_i$ ( $i=1,,n$ ) over the subbox <b>X</b> .
1	10. The method of claim 9, wherein applying box consistency to the
2	set of relations involves applying box consistency to each component $(\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x}))_i = 0$
3	$(i=1,,n)$ for each variable $x_i$ $(i=1,,n)$ over the subbox <b>X</b> .
1	
1	11. The method of claim 1, further comprising terminating attempts to
2	further reduce the subbox <b>X</b> when:
3	the width of $X$ is less than a first threshold value; and
4	the magnitude of $f(X)$ is less than a second threshold value.
1	12. The method of claim 11, wherein performing the interval Newton
2	step involves:
3	computing $J(x,X)$ , wherein $J(x,X)$ is the Jacobian of the function $f$
4	evaluated as a function of $\mathbf{x}$ over the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ ; and
5	determining if $J(x,X)$ is regular as a byproduct of solving for the subbox Y
6	that contains values of y that satisfy $M(x,X)(y-x) = r(x)$ , where
7	M(x,X) = BJ(x,X), $r(x) = -Bf(x)$ , and B is an approximate inverse of the center of
8	J(x,X).

1	13. A computer-readable storage medium storing instructions that
2	when executed by a computer cause the computer to perform a method for using a
3	computer system to solve an unconstrained interval global optimization problem
4	specified by a function f, wherein f is a scalar function of a vector
5	$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots x_n)$ , the method comprising:
6	receiving a representation of the function $f$ at the computer system;
7	storing the representation in a memory within the computer system; and
8	performing an interval global optimization process to compute guaranteed
9	bounds on a globally minimum value of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ over a subbox $\mathbf{X}$ ;
10	wherein performing the interval global optimization process involves,
11	applying term consistency to a set of relations associated
12	with the function $f$ over the subbox $X$ , and excluding any portion of
13	the subbox X that violates any of these relations,
14	applying box consistency to the set of relations associated
15	with the function $f$ over the subbox $X$ , and excluding any portion of
16	the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ that violates any of these relations, and
17	performing an interval Newton step on the subbox X to
18	produce a resulting subbox Y, wherein the point of expansion of
19	the interval Newton step is a point $x$ within the subbox $X$ , and
20	wherein performing the interval Newton step involves evaluating
21	the gradient $g(x)$ of the function $f(x)$ using interval arithmetic.
1	14. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13, wherein
2	applying term consistency involves:
3	symbolically manipulating an equation within the computer system to
4	solve for a term $g(x_j)$ , thereby producing a modified equation $g(x_j) = h(\mathbf{x})$ , wherein
5	the term $g(x_j)$ can be analytically inverted to produce an inverse function $g^{-1}(y)$ ;

1

2

3

1

17.

stationary point of  $f(\mathbf{x})$ ; and

6	substituting the subbox X into the modified equation to produce the
7	equation $g(X'_j) = h(\mathbf{X});$
8	solving for $X'_{J} = g^{-1}(h(\mathbf{X}))$ ; and
9	intersecting $X'_{j}$ with the interval $X_{j}$ to produce a new subbox $\mathbf{X}^{+}$ ;
10	wherein the new subbox $X^+$ contains all solutions of the equation within
11	the subbox $X$ , and wherein the size of the new subbox $X^+$ is less than or equal to
12	the size of the subbox $X$ .
1	15. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13, wherein

2 performing the interval global optimization process involves: 3 keeping track of a smallest upper bound f bar of the function  $f(\mathbf{x})$ ; 4 removing from consideration any subbox X for which f(X) > f bar; and 5 wherein applying term consistency to the  $f_bar$  relation involves applying

term consistency to the inequality  $f(\mathbf{x}) \le f$  bar over the subbox  $\mathbf{X}$ .

16. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 15, wherein applying box consistency to the set of relations involves applying box consistency to the inequality  $f(\mathbf{x}) \le f$  bar over the subbox  $\mathbf{X}$ .

The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13, wherein

performing the interval global optimization process involves: 2 3 determining the gradient g(x) of the function f(x), wherein g(x) includes 4 components  $g_i(\mathbf{x})$  (i=1,...,n); 5 removing from consideration any subbox for which any element of g(x) is 6 bounded away from zero, thereby indicating that the subbox does not include a 7

wherein applying term consistency to the set of relations involves applying
term consistency to each component $g_i(\mathbf{x})=0$ ( $i=1,,n$ ) of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=0$ over the subbox
<b>X</b> .
18. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 17, wherein
applying box consistency to the set of relations involves applying box consistency
to each component $g_i(\mathbf{x})=0$ ( $i=1,,n$ ) of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=0$ over the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ .
19. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13, wherein
performing the interval global optimization process involves:
determining diagonal elements $H_{u}(\mathbf{x})$ ( $i=1,,n$ ) of the Hessian of the
function $f(\mathbf{x})$ ;
removing from consideration any subbox for which a diagonal element of
the Hessian is always negative, which indicates that the function $f$ is not convex
and consequently does not contain a global minimum within the subbox;
wherein applying term consistency to the set of relations involves applying
term consistency to each inequality $H_{ii}(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$ ( $i=1,,n$ ) over the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ .
20. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 19, wherein
applying box consistency to the set of relations involves applying box consistency
to each inequality $H_n(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$ ( $i=1,,n$ ) over the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ .
21. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13,
wherein performing the interval Newton step involves,
computing the Jacobian $J(x,X)$ of the gradient $g$ evaluated
as a function of a point $x$ over the subbox $X$ ,

5	computing an approximate inverse <b>B</b> of the center of
6	J(x,X), and
7	using the approximate inverse B to analytically determine
8	the system $\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x})$ , wherein $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ is the gradient of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ ,
9	and wherein $g(x)$ includes components $g_i(x)$ ( $i=1,,n$ ); and
10	wherein applying term consistency to the set of relations involves applying
11	term consistency to each component $(\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x}))_i = 0$ $(i=1,,n)$ for each variable
12	$x_i$ ( $i=1,,n$ ) over the subbox <b>X</b> .
1	22. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 21, wherein
2	applying box consistency to the set of relations involves applying box consistency
3	to each component $(\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x}))_i = 0$ $(i=1,,n)$ for each variable $x_i$ $(i=1,,n)$ over the
4	subbox <b>X</b> .
1	23. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13, wherein the
2	method further comprises terminating attempts to further reduce the subbox $\mathbf{X}$
3	when:
4	the width of X is less than a first threshold value; and
5	the magnitude of $f(X)$ is less than a second threshold value.
1	24. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 13, wherein
2	performing the interval Newton step involves:
3	computing $J(x,X)$ , wherein $J(x,X)$ is the Jacobian of the function $f$
4	evaluated as a function of $\mathbf{x}$ over the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ ; and
5	determining if $J(x,X)$ is regular as a byproduct of solving for the subbox $Y$

that contains values of y that satisfy M(x,X)(y-x) = r(x), where

2	$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{X})$ .
1	25. An apparatus that solves an unconstrained interval global
2	optimization problem specified by a function f, wherein f is a scalar function of a
3	vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots x_n)$ , the apparatus comprising:
4	a receiving mechanism that is configured to receive a representation of the
5	function f;
6	a memory for storing the representation; and
7	an interval global optimization mechanism that is configured to perform
8	an interval global optimization process to compute guaranteed bounds on a
9	globally minimum value of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ over a subbox $\mathbf{X}$ ;
10	a term consistency mechanism within the interval global optimization
11	mechanism that is configured to apply term consistency to a set of relations
12	associated with the function $f$ over the subbox $X$ , and to exclude any portion of the
13	subbox X that violates any of these relations;
14	a box consistency mechanism within the interval global optimization
15	mechanism that is configured to apply box consistency to the set of relations
16	associated with the function $f$ over the subbox $X$ , and to exclude any portion of the
17	subbox X that violates any of these relations; and
18	an interval Newton mechanism within the interval global optimization
19	mechanism that is configured to perform an interval Newton step on the subbox X
20	to produce a resulting subbox Y, wherein the point of expansion of the interval
21	Newton step is a point $x$ within the subbox $X$ , and wherein performing the interval
22	Newton step involves evaluating the gradient $g(x)$ of the function $f(x)$ using
23	interval arithmetic.

M(x,X) = BJ(x,X), r(x) = -Bf(x), and B is an approximate inverse of the center of

1	26. The apparatus of claim 25, wherein the term consistency
2	mechanism is configured to:
3	symbolically manipulate an equation to solve for a term $g(x_j)$ , thereby
4	producing a modified equation $g(x_j) = h(\mathbf{x})$ , wherein the term $g(x_j)$ can be
5	analytically inverted to produce an inverse function $g^{-1}(y)$ ;
6	substitute the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ into the modified equation to produce the equation
7	$g(X'_{J}) = h(\mathbf{X});$
8	solve for $X'_{J} = g^{-1}(h(\mathbf{X}))$ ; and to
9	intersect $X'_j$ with the interval $X_j$ to produce a new subbox $\mathbf{X}^+$ ;
10	wherein the new subbox $\mathbf{X}^{+}$ contains all solutions of the equation within
11	the subbox $X$ , and wherein the size of the new subbox $X^+$ is less than or equal to
12	the size of the subbox $X$ .
1	27. The apparatus of claim 25,
2	wherein the interval global optimization mechanism is configured to,
3	keep track of a smallest upper bound $f_bar$ of the function
4	$f(\mathbf{x})$ , and to
5	remove from consideration any subbox X for which
6	$f(\mathbf{X}) > f_bar$ ; and
7	wherein the term consistency mechanism is configured to apply term
8	consistency to the inequality $f(\mathbf{x}) \le f_bar$ over the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ .
1	28. The apparatus of claim 27, wherein the box consistency
2	mechanism is configured to apply box consistency to the inequality $f(\mathbf{x}) \leq f_bar$
3	over the subbox <b>X</b> .

29.

The apparatus of claim 25,

# 1 1 B

2	wherein the interval global optimization mechanism is configured to,
3	determine the gradient $g(x)$ of the function $f(x)$ , wherein
4	$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ includes components $g_i(\mathbf{x})$ ( $i=1,,n$ ), and to
5	remove from consideration any subbox for which any
6	element of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ is bounded away from zero, thereby indicating that
7	the subbox does not include a stationary point of $f(\mathbf{x})$ ; and
8	wherein the term consistency mechanism is configured to apply term
9	consistency to each component $g_i(\mathbf{x})=0$ ( $i=1,,n$ ) of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=0$ over the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ .

- 1 30. The apparatus of claim 29, wherein the box consistency 2 mechanism is configured to apply box consistency to each component 3  $g_i(\mathbf{x})=0$  (i=1,...,n) of  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{0}$  over the subbox  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- 1 31. The apparatus of claim 25, 2 wherein the interval global optimization mechanism is configured to, determine diagonal elements  $H_n(\mathbf{x})$  (i=1,...,n) of the 3 4 Hessian of the function  $f(\mathbf{x})$ , and to 5 remove from consideration any subbox for which a 6 diagonal element of the Hessian is always negative, which 7 indicates that the function f is not convex and consequently does 8 not contain a global minimum within the subbox; 9 wherein the term consistency mechanism is configured to apply term 10 consistency to each inequality  $H_{ii}(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$  (i=1,...,n) over the subbox  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- 32. The apparatus of claim 31, wherein the box consistency
  mechanism is configured to apply box consistency to each inequality
  H<sub>u</sub>(x) ≥ 0 (i=1,...,n) over the subbox X.

1	33. The apparatus of claim 25,
2	wherein the interval Newton mechanism is configured to,
3	compute the Jacobian $J(x,X)$ of the gradient $g$ evaluated as
4	a function of a point $x$ over the subbox $X$ ,
5	compute an approximate inverse <b>B</b> of the center of $J(x,X)$ ,
6	and to
7	use the approximate inverse ${\bf B}$ to analytically determine the
8	system $\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x})$ , wherein $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ is the gradient of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ , and
9	wherein $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ includes components $g_i(\mathbf{x})$ ( $i=1,,n$ ); and
10	wherein the term consistency mechanism is configured to apply term
11	consistency to each component $(\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x}))_i = 0$ $(i=1,,n)$ for each variable
12	$x_i$ ( $i=1,,n$ ) over the subbox <b>X</b> .
1	34. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the box consistency
2	mechanism is configured to apply box consistency to each component
3	$(\mathbf{Bg}(\mathbf{x}))_i = 0$ $(i=1,,n)$ for each variable $x_i$ $(i=1,,n)$ over the subbox $\mathbf{X}$ .
1	35. The apparatus of claim 25, further comprising a termination
2	mechanism that is configured to terminate attempts to further reduce the subbox $\mathbf{X}$
3	when:
4	the width of X is less than a first threshold value; and
5	the magnitude of $f(X)$ is less than a second threshold value.
1	36. The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the interval Newton
2	mechanism is configured to:,

J(x,X).

compute J(x,X), wherein J(x,X) is the Jacobian of the function f evaluated as a function of x over the subbox X; and to determine if J(x,X) is regular as a byproduct of solving for the subbox Y that contains values of y that satisfy M(x,X)(y-x) = r(x), where M(x,X) = BJ(x,X), r(x) = -Bf(x), and B is an approximate inverse of the center of